Public Document Pack

Corporate Parenting Panel Agenda



To: Councillor Alisa Flemming (Chair)

Councillors Maria Gatland, Patricia Hay-Justice, Bernadette Khan, Shafi Khan, Andrew Rendle, Andy Stranack, Gill Manton and Sandra Richards

A meeting of the Corporate Parenting Panel which you are hereby summoned to attend, will be held on Thursday, 22 March 2018 at 5.15 pm in F9, Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon, CR0 1NX

JACQUELINE HARRIS-BAKER
Director of Law and Monitoring Officer
London Borough of Croydon
Bernard Weatherill House
8 Mint Walk, Croydon CR0 1EA

Michelle Gerning 020 8726 6000 x84246 michelle.gerning@croydon.gov.uk www.croydon.gov.uk/meetings Wednesday, 14 March 2018

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting. If you require any assistance, please contact the person detailed above, on the right-hand side.

N.B This meeting will be paperless. The agenda can be accessed online at www.croydon.gov.uk/meetings



AGENDA - PART A

1. Apologies for absence

To receive any apologies for absence from any members of the Panel.

2. Minutes of the previous meeting (Pages 5 - 8)

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 10 January 2018 as an accurate record.

3. Disclosures of interest

In accordance with the Council's Code of Conduct and the statutory provisions of the Localism Act, Members and co-opted Members of the Council are reminded that it is a requirement to register disclosable pecuniary interests (DPIs) and gifts and hospitality to the value of which exceeds £50 or multiple gifts and/or instances of hospitality with a cumulative value of £50 or more when received from a single donor within a rolling twelve month period. In addition, Members and co-opted Members are reminded that unless their disclosable pecuniary interest is registered on the register of interests or is the subject of a pending notification to the Monitoring Officer, they are required to disclose those disclosable pecuniary interests at the meeting. This should be done by completing the Disclosure of Interest form and handing it to the Democratic Services representative at the start of the meeting. The Chair will then invite Members to make their disclosure orally at the commencement of Agenda item 3. Completed disclosure forms will be provided to the Monitoring Officer for inclusion on the Register of Members' Interests.

4. Urgent Business (if any)

To receive notice of any business not on the agenda which in the opinion of the Chair, by reason of special circumstances, be considered as a matter of urgency.

5. Educational Outcome - Interim Update (Pages 9 - 12)

This report is in response to the panel's request for additional data in relation to educational outcomes for children looked after, following the Virtual School Annual Report discussed in January 2018.

An interim update in lieu of full update for the next Corporate Parenting Panel meeting.

6. Staying Put Update (Pages 13 - 18)

To update on the plan and timescale for the review of the Staying Put arrangements.

7. Children in Care Performance Scorecard (Pages 19 - 24)

The summary and analysis of Children in Care Performance Scorecard.

8. Exclusion of the Press and Public

The following motion is to be moved and seconded where it is proposed to exclude the press and public from the remainder of a meeting:

"That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information falling within those paragraphs indicated in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended."



Corporate Parenting Panel

Meeting of the Corporate Parenting Panel held on Wednesday, 10 January 2018 at 5.00 pm in Council Chamber - Town Hall

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Alisa Flemming (Chair);

Councillors Maria Gatland, Shafi Khan, Andrew Rendle and Andy Stranack

Apologies: Councillors Patricia Hay-Justice and Bernadette Khan, Sandra Richards and

Gill Manton.

PART A

1/18 Minutes of the previous meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 8 November 2017 were agreed as an accurate record.

2/18 **Disclosures of interest**

There were none.

3/18 Urgent Business (if any)

There was no urgent business to consider however Councillor Flemming and the Executive Director – People updated the Panel on a recent visit to the Camden Corporate Parenting Panel. It was noted that young people were heavily involved in Children's Services, including through young independent inspectors (that provided an annual report to the Panel) and pre-meetings with the Chair and Vice-Vice Chair of the Panel. There was a family atmosphere at meetings which contributed greatly to the work of the Panel. There had been a lot to take away from the visit and look at how Croydon could adopt some of the best practice witnessed at Camden.

4/18 Staying Put

The Head of Looked After Children tabled the report and a review of Staying Put had been brought for the Panel's consideration after the Ofsted report had identified it as an area for improvement; the scheme had not been reviewed since 2014. The details of Staying Put were explained to the Panel, as were

the benefits for young people. The goal was to make the scheme more financially inviting to foster carers was also keeping it cost effective.

At 18.20 Councillor Gatland left the meeting.

Foster carers present at the meeting provided feedback on how the scheme had been working. It was stated that there was a significant financial impact on foster carers when a young person stayed after turning 18 was significant. In addition the young person was required to sign up for housing benefit which created a somewhat difficult relationship between the young person and foster carer, more akin to a landlord/tenant relationship. It was agreed amongst the foster carers present that a fundamental block in increasing numbers of staying put children was the negative financial impact on foster carers. In addition, education and awareness on staying put needed to be provided to foster carers at a much earlier stage, so that the scheme wasn't a short notice decision for carers to make.

Panel Members explored the key issues with the foster carers and officers present and welcomed the recommendation to review Staying Put. The review would be put on the work programme for the next meeting of the Corporate Parenting Panel for Members to consider the scope of the review.

5/18 Virtual School Annual Report

The Head of Standards Safeguarding and Youth Engagement introduced the report to Panel Members; attention was drawn to the positive results for looked after children in KS1 (Key Stage 1) and KS2, however the KS4 results were not as positive. It was noted that Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASCs) achieved well within the KS4 group.

The Panel discussed the contents of the report and noted that there was no data on looked after young people whom were out of school and it was requested that such data be made available in the next report. It was also requested that there be a more detailed breakdown of the provided data, such as KS4 results and exclusion rates. Panel Members and officers agreed that benchmarking against appropriate local authorities would be useful, such as authorities with a high number of UASCs. Officers explained to Panel Members how pupil premium funding was used to provide support to young people in care such as one-to-one tuition and designated teachers, within a framework of bespoke support for each individual child. It was noted that engagement with schools was generally good but with differing levels of engagement across the borough. There was a restructure underway in the service which looked to move caseworkers away from being focussed on specific key stages and broadening into all educational levels.

There was mixed feedback on how out-of-borough children were supported within the education system. Officers were looking at the issue on a strategic level to ensure better cooperation between different authorities.

It was agreed that the Virtual School would increase engagement with the Fostering Network, as well as neighbouring Virtual Schools, to ensure there was good cooperation for out-of-borough educated looked after children. Some Panel Members expressed a desire to see a dedicated session on blue sky thinking for improving attainment levels of looked after children.

Panel Members also requested that the data in the report include a breakdown of what special education needs young people experienced, and look into what initiatives are being undertaken to support these differing needs.

6/18 Looked After Children Services Performance Dashboard

The Director of Children's Services introduced the report and requested Panel Member feedback on the data being provided, which was proposed to be brought to every Panel meeting.

A Panel Member requested that as well as the category of "missing episodes", the data also captured the numbers of missing people to allow for a broader picture of whether the issue was multiple episodes from a few young people, or the levels of individual children going missing was high. Officers also agreed that the data would be presented at future meetings in a larger format and on a screen.

To conclude the meeting, the Chair invited everyone present to summarise what they had taken away from the discussions held.

7/18	Exclusion of the Press and Public
	Not required.
	The meeting ended at 6.56 pm
Signed: Date:	



REPORT TO:	Corporate Parenting Panel 22 March 2018
SUBJECT:	Update on progress on improving delivery of health assessments for looked after children within timescales
LEAD OFFICER:	Barbara Peacock, Executive Director of People Department
CABINET MEMBER:	Alisa Flemming, Cabinet Member for Children, Young People & Learning
WARDS:	ALL

CORPORATE PRIORITY/POLICY CONTEXT:

A caring city: Provide safer, high quality, integrated healthcare and social care services close to home with a focus on maternity, children and young people, and mental health services.

Corporate Parenting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

No financial considerations.

FORWARD PLAN KEY DECISION REFERENCE NO: N/A

1. RECOMMENDATION

1.1 Corporate Parenting Panel to note the report which is additional information as requsted.

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2.1 The corporate parenting responsibilities of local authorities include having a duty under section 22(3)(a) of the Children Act 1989 to safeguard and promote the welfare of the children they look after, including eligible children and those placed for adoption, regardless of whether they are placed in or out of authority or the type of placement. This includes the promotion of the child's physical, emotional and mental health and acting on any early signs of health issues.
- 2.2 This report is in response to the panel's request for additional data in relation to educational outcomes for children looked after, following the Virtual School Annual Report discussed in January 2018.

3. DETAIL OF REPORT

Children Looked After Out of School

3.1. As shown in the table below, the total number of CLA without a school place are predominantly UASC at KS5. A number of children at KS4 will have been placed at the Virtual School interim provision where they will begin to receive an education.

Every statutory school age and Post 16 child looked after has an advisory teacher and/or education personal adviser allocated to them who is supporting foster carer and social worker with admissions process which has been put in place as from the 1st February 2018. And for all children, there will be one to one tutition in place until a school place has been identified.

Table 1. Number of children looked after who are out of school.

			CLA V	Vithout a	School F	Place						
	1	Sept 201	17	31	Dec 20'	17	15 Feb 2018					
	Local	UASC	Total	Local	UASC	Total	Local	UASC	Total			
Nursery	3	-	3	7	-	7	8	-	8			
KS 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
KS 2	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1			
KS 3	1	-	1	4	1	7	4	11				
KS 4	3	4	7	2	17	19	2	19	21			
KS 5	28	47	75	32	39	71	34	40	74			
Total	35	51	86	46	57	103	52	63	115			

3.2. Table 2 below shows the total number of UASC with education has increased year on year. The number of UASC who are NEET has also increased at KS4, whereas the NEET figure at KS5 has gone down.

The Virtual School track and trace UASC in Year 12 & 13 with a personal adviser who will arrange for a one to one meeting to discuss education and training opportunities. One of the many challenges for the team at the moment is the lack of places in Colleges locally and out of Borough.

Table 2. Breakdown of the number of UASC with/without education

			UAS	C Cohor	t - numb	ers						
	1	Sept 201		1	Dec 201		15 Feb 2018					
	School	NEET	Total	School	NEET	Total	School	NEET	Total			
Nursery	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
KS 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
KS 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
KS 3	5	-	5	7	1	8	7	4	11			
KS 4	87	4	91	95	17	112	98	19	117			
KS 5	185	47	232	199	39	238	201	40	241			
Total	277	51	328	301	57	358	306	63	369			

3.2. Table 3 below shows the total number of UASC with/without education in percentages.

Table 3. Breakdown of the percentage of UASC with/without education

			UASC	Cohort -	Percenta	ges						
	1	Sept 2017	,	31	Dec 2017	7	15 Feb 2018					
	School	NEET	Total	School	NEET	Total	School	NEET	Total			
Nursery	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı			
KS 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı				
KS 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•				
KS 3	100%	-	100%	87.50%	12.5%	100%	63.64%	36.36%	100%			
KS 4	95.60%	4.40%	100%	84.82%	15.18%	100%	83.76%	16.24%	100%			
KS 5	79.74%	20.26%	100%	83.61%	16.39%	100%	83.40%	16.60%	100%			
Total	84.45%	15.55%	100%	84.08%	15.92%	100%	82.93%	17.07%	100%			

3.3. Table 4 below shows a positive picture in relation to the number of exclusions which has reduced significantly in 2018 compared to 2017 for the local and UASC cohort.

Table 4. Number of Reported Exclusions by each Key Stage

1 4 5 1 5 1 1 1 4 4 1	nbor or repe	n toa Exolable									
		Repor	ted Exclusi	ons by Key	y Stage						
	S	ept – Dec 1	17	J	Year to date						
	Local	UASC	Total	Local	Local UASC Total						
Nursery	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
KS 1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1				
KS 2	1	-	1	-	-	-	1				
KS 3	10	-	10	2	-	2	12				
KS 4	18	5	23	3	1	4	27				
KS 5	1	5	6	-	1	1	7				
Total	30	10	40	6	8	48					

3.4. Table 5 below, shows the number of CLA with a one to one mentor. The highest figure shows that 18 local children receive support from a mentor mainly at KS3 & KS4. For the UASC cohort, the Virtual School work with Spressa (Albania Voluntary Organisation) who provides one to to one mentoring and small groups at KS4 & KS5.

Table 5. The number of CLA with a One to One Mentor (Mentoring recorded on PEPs)

	Local	UASC	Total
Nursery	-	-	-
KS 1	-	-	-
KS 2	5	-	5
KS 3	6	-	6
KS 4	6	2	8
KS 5	1	1	2
Total	18	3	21

3.5. Table 6 below shows the number of CLA in/out of borough by each key stage and status. There are 394 CLA placed in schools in Borough and 397 CLA placed in schools out of Borough, with a higher proportion of UASC placed out of Borough which presents a challenge to the Virtual School. The highest proportion of local

children placed out of Borough is at KS4 and KS5, whereas the highest propotion of local children at KS1 and KS2 are placed in Borough.

Table 6. The number of CLA In/Out of borough by Key Stage and Status

	In/	Out of borou	igh by Key S	Stage and St	atus						
		In Borough		Out of Borough							
	Local	UASC	Total	Local	Local UASC						
Nursery	9	-	9	10	-	10					
KS 1	25	-	25	15	-	15					
KS 2	50	-	50	24	-	24					
KS 3	45	3	48	34	8	42					
KS 4	40	59	99	56	58	114					
KS 5	47	116	163	51	125	176					
Total	216	178	394	206	191	397					

5 FINANCIAL AND RISK ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 There are no financial considerations arising from this report.

6 COMMENTS OF THE COUNCIL SOLICITOR AND MONITORING OFFICER

6.1 There are no legal implications of this report.

7. HUMAN RESOURCES IMPACT

7.1 There are no human resources implications of this report.

8. EQUALITIES IMPACT

8.1 This report is not proposing a change in policy or service.

9. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

9.1 There are no environmental implications of this report.

10. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPACT

10.1 There are no crime and disorder implications of this report.

Gill Manton, Head of Virtual School, Croydon Council

APPENDICES: None

CONTACT OFFICER:

Croydon Council

REPORT TO:	Corporate Parenting Panel
	February 2018
SUBJECT:	Review of the Council's Staying Put Policy – Update
LEAD OFFICER:	Philip Segurola / Barbara Peacock
CABINET MEMBER:	Cllr Alisa Flemming
WARDS:	All

CORPORATE PRIORITY/POLICY CONTEXT/AMBITIOUS FOR CROYDON:

The Ofsted Inspection of services for children in need of help of protection, children looked after and care leavers, which took place in June and July of 2017 said the following in relation to Staying Put for Care Leavers:

Not enough children and young people are staying with their carers after they are 18 years of age.

Not enough care leavers benefit from staying - put arrangements. A much lower proportion of Croydon care leavers benefit from living with their former foster carers beyond the age of 18 years than in neighbouring authorities or nationally. Some care leavers and foster carers reported that they believed that staying - put arrangements are only available until the age of 18 if they remain in full - time education. In addition, care plans often say that children will remain in placement until 18 years of age. Both of these factors undermine efforts to ensure that more care leavers benefit from the security and stability of continuing to live with their foster carers as they transition to independent adulthood.

The Inspectorate made the following recommendation:

Ensure that staying - put arrangements are promoted to all care leavers and foster carers, so that care leavers who want to stay with their former foster carers can benefit from greater permanency and support as they move towards independent adulthood.

Additionally, it is noted that a young person who is a regular attender at the Corporate Parenting Panel also noted that he felt from personal experience and that of some others known to him, that the Council's Staying Put policy is not well understood among young people and foster carers.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

For future consideration.

1. RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Panel note the update on the review of the Staying Put arrangements, including implementation plan and timeframes.

2. Introduction

- 2.1 The January 2018 report to the Board outlined the legislative duties around the 'Staying Put' graduated approach to planning transition to adulthood. The intention is to ensure young people can remain with their former foster carers until they are prepared for adulthood, can experience a transition akin to their peers, avoid social exclusion and be more likely to avert a subsequent housing and tenancy breakdown. The report outlined current take-up in Croydon (98 arrangements since 2014) and the financial grant provided for Staying Put arrangements (£529,837 in 2017-18).
- 2.2 A Staying Put Arrangement applies to a young person who was looked after immediately prior to their eighteenth birthday as an eligible child; (an eligible child is someone who is aged 16 or 17 and has been looked after for a total of at least 13 weeks since the age of 14). The young person's Social Worker will be responsible for the process from the age of 16 years until the young person's 18th birthday when the Staying Put arrangement starts. After this time the Leaving Care Personal Adviser will take over the support of the care leaver and maintenance of the arrangement.
- 2.3 A review of the Council's Staying Put policy and arrangements has commenced and this report provides an update on the implementation plan in place and further details of timeframes.

3. Objectives of Staying Put Review

- 3.1 The objectives of the Staying Put Review are:
 - Engagement exercise on current views and suggestions for improvement on Staying Put arrangements, particularly in respect of the advantages and barriers to putting these in place:
 - Service Users children and young people
 - Foster carers
 - Social workers
 - IFA framework providers
 - Review the financial cost of Staying Put arrangements to the service user, foster carer and Council and undertake cost-benefit analysis of potential changes
 - Review the Staying Put policy and propose options for potential changes
 - Seek the views of service users, foster carers, IFA and social workers on proposed changes

- o Increase awareness and publicity around revised Staying Put arrangements
- o Increase the take-up of Staying Put arrangements

3.2 The **key actions and timeframes** of the Staying Put Review are:

Ac	ction	Timeframe
•	Engagement with Service users, foster carer, IFA, social workers on current Staying Put arrangements	March 2018
-	Financial baseline of Staying Put arrangement costs	March 2018
•	Cost Benefit analysis of increasing Staying Put arrangements	
-	Modelling of alternative financial options	
•	Devise potential changes to Staying Put arrangements, approach and financial rates	April 2018
•	Consult with Service users, foster carer, IFA, social workers on potential changes	May 2018
-	Final proposals/options paper produced	June 2018
•	Final proposals/options paper review & decision-making at Corporate Parenting Board	July 2018
-	Launch date of updated Staying Put policy and arrangements; publicity, awareness raising	Late July 2018

4. Challenges

4.1 Anecdotally we know that the vast majority of instances where arrangement are not processed are due to the foster carer not wanting to have a reduced income. A question is included in the Foster Carer survey to ascertain more feedback on what are the barriers preventing foster carers progressing with Staying Put arrangements.

Very occasionally, we have foster carers who would not want to lose their status as foster carer and case evidence suggests this is more likely to happen when the carer is registered with an Independent Fostering Agency (IFA).

We do know that some IFAs would not want to 'lose' their foster carer, albeit temporarily. This includes that the IFA would lose their premium for that placement. To address this and encourage compliance, we have inserted a clause into the contract with our preferred 'framework' providers. This also affords clarity in our expectation about preparation for young people to leave care.

- 4.2 There is a sense that a significant number of our Care Leavers would prefer to live independently, which may be related to the high number of UASC. This will need to be explored further through engagement with Care Leavers.
- 4.3 According to the Children and Social Work Act 2017, Local Authorities will be required to offer a service to all Care Leavers up to their 25th birthday. Guidance is not yet available and therefore it is not clear what impact this might have on the Staying Put policy and budget.
- 4.4 The Local Authority has to date not kept records of occasions when a Staying Put arrangement has been explored but not agreed, though we know that none have been turned down once the process is activated. A process of recording will need to be implemented to track efforts to progress Staying Put arrangements and the reasons if these are not successful.

5. Potential changes to Staying Put arrangements

- 5.1 There are a number of options being explored and these will require full financial analysis and engagement activities. These include:
 - increase the rent element rate from £520.00 per month to £747.37, knowing that this can be claimed from Universal Credit or Housing Benefit. The Council does have the discretion to set Staying Put rates, within budget
 - increase in Staying Put rates for young people in full time education given that the rate only increases during the second year. This was set as is with the intention of encouraging young people to stay on but the link is arguably difficult for carers and young people to make
 - increase rate and wider criteria for young people with a disability in order to support a longer run in to adulthood and independence
 - explore options for Staying Put and Universal Credit claims for young people who are Leaving the Care of 'Connected Person' carers to establish whether there is any flexibility in the DWP's position

8 CONSULTATION

- 8.1 Though the local authority are not legally required to consult on this matter it is good practice to engage with stakeholders. The review of Staying Put arrangements will involve full consultation with staff, foster carers and young people. Additionally, there is value in being clear about the expectations upon Local Authorities in regard to young people aged 21 and up to their 25th birthday.
- 8.2 It will be useful to relaunch the policy as part of a set of activities to develop a wider publicised offer to Croydon's Care Leavers. This will include a re-launch

of the policy to ensure it is well understood by carers, providers and staff.

9. FINANCIAL AND RISK ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 A full cost benefit analysis would be useful in order to establish what is affordable within current budget and where it is possible to divert funds from private rented sector rent currently paid for some young people.

10. COMMENTS OF THE COUNCIL SOLICITOR AND MONITORING OFFICER

N/ A at this time but a discussion and view will be necessary in reviewing the policy.

11. HUMAN RESOURCES IMPACT

N/A

12. EQUALITIES IMPACT

Will need to be considered to ensure that Care leavers are treated in a way that is clearly understood and given that young people's entitlements to public funds can differ depending on their circumstances.

13. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

N/A

14. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPACT

N/A

CONTACT OFFICER: Wendy Tomlinson, Head of Looked After Children and

Resources, X 65413



Children in Care Performance Scorecard Summary and Analysis February 2018

The overall number of looked after children in Croydon has remained steady over the course of the performance year. However, within the overall figure there has been a significant change in the composition of the CIC population, with an additional 79 local children in care and 84 fewer unaccompanied asylum seeking children (UASC). In spite of this increase, Croydon remains below the national average for percentage volume of children in care.

Missing episodes for looked after children and return home interviews (RHIs) are now being tracked and reported on. There is a general upward trend in RHI completion, but problems remain with those young people placed out of borough. Going forwards, as new placements are made we will be negotiating with in situ services in the locality of the placement to undertake RHIs.

Regularity of social worker visits to looked after children is holding steady at 91% and the decline in reviews held within timescale seems to have been addressed. With the latter we will also be differentiating between whether the review itself has not been held or if non-completion of paperwork is the underlying problem. Participation in reviews has dropped over the course of the year.

The completion of personal education plans (PEPs) and medicals remain areas of concern. The virtual school has gone over to a system of electronic PEPs which are not held on the main recording system which has made tracking and reporting difficult. Medicals, particularly initial checks upon entry into care, are not being completed to a satisfactory level. The clinical commissioning group (CCG) has agreed funding to increase resources from April onwards, but this is an area where there needs to be improvement.

The figures for up to date care plans are healthy at 95%, but conversely pathway plans for 16- and 17-year olds are poor at 48%. Much of this is due to continued confusion about the documentation that is to be used and accompanying process, for which updated guidance will be issued.

Placement stability and consistency of social worker support is currently satisfactory, and for the key indicator of 3 or more placements in a year (LAC21) Croydon is below the national average. We are in line with national comparator figures for children placed within 20 miles of home. The suite of education figures for the academic year 2016/17 will be available in May. There has been a small decline in the number of Croydon fostering households, but steady improvement in compliance for annual reviews and supervisory visits.

The volume of adoption activity has dropped over the course of the year, but we are starting to see an upturn in placement orders which will hopefully translate into

increased activity in 2018/19. Whilst numbers have dropped, the timeliness of adoption placements is improving, with children being placed more quickly with their adoptive family.

Care leaver performance is satisfactory, with a considerable improvement in pathway plans being up to date and NEET and accommodation outcomes either in line with or above national comparators. Croydon's figures for care leavers in employment, education or training are continuing to improve and are well above the national benchmark.



Coporate Parenting Panel Dataset

February - 2018

Produced by Performance Intelligence and Data Quality Team



				2017/18																	Compara	ative Data		
Indicator Number	Indicator Title	Polarity	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Target Owner	2017-18 Target	RAG	Monthly Trend	2017-18 YTD or latest	2016-17	Croydon 2015- 16	England 2016-17	England 2015-16	Stats Nbr Average 2016-17	Stats Nbr Average 2015-16
LAC 1	Number of LAC at the end of the month (Total includes 18 year olds)		782	767	756	773	787	780	778	779	780	778	777	WT	NA		\bigvee	777	793	800	478.09 (Average)	Average 463	507 (Average)	517 (Average)
LAC 2	Rate of LAC per 10,000 under 18 population		84.1	82.5	81.3	83.1	84.4	83.9	83.7	83.8	83.9	83.7	83.5	WT	NA		\bigvee	83.5	83.0	86.0	62.0	60.0	54.1% (average)	59 (Average Rate)
LAC 2a	Rate of LAC per 10,000 under 18 population excluding UASC		43.1	43.1	43.8	45.9	47.8	47.4	48.8	49.5	50.4	52.2	51.6	WT	NA			51.6						
LAC 3	Number of LAC at the end of the month who are Local LAC (Non-UASC)		401	401 401 407 427 445 441 454 460 469 485								480	WT	NA			480	400	370		Average 436	456	468	
LAC 4	Number of LAC at the end of the month who are UASC		381	381 366 349 346 342 339 324 319 311 293								297	WT	NA		1	297	393	430	4560 (Total) 30 (Average)	4300 - average 28	51 (Average)	395	
LAC 7	Number of missing episodes from Care in the month involving LAC children	SIB	122	138	179	202	135	161	163	149	146	162	123	HD	NA		$/\sim$	672	63	105	399.5 (average) Experimental	57 (Average)	543 (average) Experimental	59
LAC 9	% of Found episodes in the month involving LAC children where an RHI was completed (New for Feb 2018)	SIB	12%	17%	28%	19%	29%	41%	59%	52%	46%	63%	57%	HD	NA		\sim	44%	11					
LAC 10	Percentage of LAC for whom a visit has taken place within statutory timescales (6 weekly Visits)	BIB	87%	90%	92%	83%	86%	90%	90%	90%	83%	88%	91%	WT	98%		$\sqrt{}$	88%	89%	90%				
LAC 11	Percentage of LAC cases which were reviewed within required timescales	BIB	83%	83% 70% 77% 75% 69% 65% 63% 62% 67% 62%								67%	WT	98%		\	69%	82%	80%					
LAC 12	Percentage of LAC who have participated in Reviews (aged 4+) in the month	BIB	85%	85% 76% 82% 74% 82% 81% 75% 74% 77% 81%								73%	AFS	80%		\bigvee	78%	79%	91%		78%			
LAC 13	Percentage of LAC with a Personal Education Plan (PEP) reviewed in the last 6 months (Need to get he EPEP Number from the Virtual teams)	BIB	59%	59% 58% 62% 55% 43% 22% Needs to be updated from EPEP EPEP EPEP EPEP Needs to be updated from EPEP EPEP EPEP								Needs to be updated from EPEP	GM	85%			Needs to be updated from EPEP	55%	70%					
LAC 14	Percentage of eligible LAC with an up-to- date Care Plan	BIB	98%	98%	99%	96%	97%	94%	95%	95%	96%	95%	95%	CW	95%		-_\	95%	97%	n/a				
LAC 15	Percentage of eligible LAC with an up-to- date Pathway Plan	BIB	43%	47%	55%	56%	44%	41%	44%	47%	46%	44%	48%	CW	80%			48%	45%	52%				
LAC 16	% of children in care for at least 12 months for whom health assessments are up to date.	BIB	59%	60%	61%	63%	65%	59%	61%	65%	56%	64%	60%	AT/WT	75%		///	60%	60%	86%		90%		93%
LAC 18	% initial health assessments delivered within 20 working days of date child became looked after.	BIB	29%	18%	7%	6%	18%	9%	15%	19%	9%	13%	9%	AT/WT			$\backslash \mathcal{N}$	14%						
LAC 19	Percentage of LAC that have been in care for 12+ months, that have had same social worker for last 6 months	BIB	79%	82%	78%	72%	71%	71%	74%	70%	73%	73%	69%	WT	80%		\ <u></u>	69%	79%					
LAC 20	Percentage of LAC under 16 in care for more than 2.5 years: in the same placement for 2+ years	BIB	70%	70%	71%	72%	70%	73%	70%	73%	81%	72%	70%	WT	75%		$/\!\!\!\!/$	70%	72%	71%				
LAC 21	Percentage of LAC at end of month with 3 or more placements during the year	SIB	8%	8%	9%	10%	9%	8%	8%	7%	8%	9%	9%	SD	8%		\checkmark	9%	8%	8% (2015)	10% (average - 2016)	10% (2015)	11.1% (average - 2016)	
LAC 22	Percentage of LAC placed <20 miles from home	SIB	87%	92%	88%	87%	86%	86%	84%	84%	83%	81%	82%	SD	90%			82%	87%	92% (2015)	81%	86% (2015)	82%	
LAC ED1	Number of Permanent Exclusions where the pupil is Looked After	SIB			Annual	Indicator - see 2	016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018					0%		•	0	0					
LAC ED2	Number of Fixed Term Exclusions where the pupil is Looked After	SIB			Annual	Indicator - see 2	016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018									452 in Croydon Schools 185 Outside LBC					
LAC ED3	Percentage of LAC with a Statement or EHCP				Annual	Indicator - see 2	016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018									2%		27% (average- 2016)		30.3% (average- 2016)	
LAC ED4	% Croydon Funded KS1 LAC meeting expected standard in Reading, Writing and Maths	BIB			Annual	Indicator - see 2	016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018							•		13.3% (2015/16)		50% Reading 37% Writing 46% Maths (actual-2016)	33.2%		
LAC ED5	% Croydon Funded KS2 LAC meeting expected standard in Reading, Writing and Maths	BIB			Annual	Indicator - see 2	1016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018							•		19% (2015/16)		25% (actual-2016)	25.7%		
LAC ED6	% Croydon Funded KS4 LAC meeting Progress 8	BIB		Annual Indicator - see 2016/17 for latest data. Next update available May 2018													•		-1.62 (2015/16)		-1.14% (average score)	-1.46	-0.99% (average score)	
LAC ED7	% Croydon Funded KS4 LAC meeting Attainment 8	BIB		Annual Indicator - see 2016/17 for latest data. Next update available May 2018													•		15.5 (2015/16)		22.8% (average score)	20.9	24.3% (average score)	
LAC ED8	Average attendance of Croydon LAC	BIB			Annual	Indicator - see 2	1016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018							•		92.09% (2015/16)					
LAC ED9	Percentage Croydon LAC with greater than 95% attendance	BIB			Annual	Indicator - see 2	016/17 for lates	st data. Next up	date available N	lay 2018									62.04% (2015/16)					

			2017/18																Comparative Data						
Indicator Number	Indicator Title	Polarity	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	Jan-18		Target Owner	2017-18 Target	RAG	Monthly Trend	2017-18 YTD or latest	2016-17	Croydon 2015- 16	England 2016-17	England 2015-16	Stats Nbr Average 2016-17	Stats Nbr Average 2015-16	
F 1	Total number of foster carer households	BIB	255	254	256	259	255	255	244	246	247	246	241	SD	NA		1	241	254	390 (total number of approved foster carers), 295	(average	51,850 children are living with foster families on 31st March	170 (average 2016)		
F3	Percentage of Annual Reviews of Foster Carers completed on time	BIB	68%	52%	60%	60%	53%	60%	73%	70%	79%	82%	81%	SD	95%		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	81%	65%						
F 4	Percentage of Foster Carers' most recent announced visit within timescales	BIB	56%	57%	61%	52%	57%	52%	69%	66%	59%	70%	79%	SD	85%			79%	62%						

		2017/18															Comparative Data							
Indicator Number	Indicator Title	Polarity	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	Jan-18		Target Owner	2017-18 Target	RAG	Monthly Trend	2017-18 YTD or latest	2016-17	Croydon 2015- 16	England 2016-17	England 2015-16	Stats Nbr Average 2016-17	Stats Nbr Average 2015-16
AD 0	Number of Adoption Orders achieved in the month	BIB	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	1	Awaiting Data	HD	NA			9	20		28.6		26	
AD 1	Number of children for whom the agreed plan is adoption (ADM)	BiB	40	45	44	43	43	43	45	37	38	23	Awaiting Data	HD	NA		7	401	41	28				
AD 2	Number of children waiting to be matched to an adopter		22	23	22	24	22	28	28	24	22	12	Awaiting Data	HD	NA		~~~	Awaiting Data	27	19				
AD 7	Average time between a child entering care and moving in with the adoptive family (days)	SIB	763	0	0	0	483	435	413	444	475	384	Awaiting Data	HD	558			340	395	1073 (2016) AND 779 (3 Year Average)	558 (2013- 2016) 3 yr average	AND 558 (3 year Average)	604 (2013- 2016) 3 yr average	
CLa	Care Leavers with an Up-to-date Pathway plan	BIB						51%	65%	61%	59%	78%	86%	WT	98%		\nearrow	67%						
CL 1a	Percentage in employment, education, or training (EET) on their 17th to 21st Birthday	BIB	61%	64%	62%	62%	61%	59%	64%	64%	64%	64%	65%	WT	60%		$\overline{}$	60%	58%	60% (345) (2016)	50% (average 19 to 21 yr olds)		50.2% (average 19 to 21 yr olds)	
CL 3a	Percentage in suitable accommodation on their 17th to 21st Birthday	BIB	83%	85%	86%	84%	83%	81%	87%	86%	86%	83%	84%	WT	85%		$\wedge \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$	84%	83%	77% (2016)	84%	83%	77%	83%